IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1913 OF 2005

Mr.Bajranglal Agarwal ...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

.....

Mr.A.M.Saraogi for Applicant absent.

Applicant present in-person.

Mr.V.B.Konde-Deshmukh, A.P.P. for Respondent No.1.

.....

CORAM: A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.

MARCH 31, 2005.

P.C.

1. Applicant appears in person and informs that his Advocate on record is not available. Such request ought to have been made by the Advocate himself or through his colleague, but asking the Applicant to appear before the Court to make that request on behalf of the Advocate, in my opinion, is improper.

2. Dismissed for default.

3. At this stage, Applicant states that he will argue the Application himself and is inclined to discharge his Advocate. Ordered accordingly.

4. Heard Applicant in-person. This Application is for cancellation of anticipatory bail granted by the Sessions Court in favour of the Respondents on 13th January 2005. Learned A.P.P. points out that charge-sheet has already been filed and the investigation is complete.

5. In my opinion, no fault can be found with the approach of the lower Court granting anticipatory bail to the Respondents on the finding that the Joint Registrar has opined that no financial loss has been caused to the Society and that the Sub-Registrar himself had given an application to the Police Officer for withdrawal of the complaint.

6. Viewed in this perspective, no case for interference. Rejected.

A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.